

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.). FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/883,851	3,851 06/18/2001		Bogdan C. Maglich	HIENER.1CPC1CP	9955		
20995	7590	12/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
KNOBBE I 2040 MAIN		NS OLSON & B	GREENE, DAN	GREENE, DANIEL LAWSON			
FOURTEEN	_			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA	A 92614		3663				

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/883,851	MAGLICH, BOGDAN C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel L. Greene Jr.	3663		

	Daniel L. Greene Jr.	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	•		
b) L The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Office.	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	, ,,	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		F	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	·		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 26-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	d door NOT place the combination is		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	SASK	KETH	
	SUPERVISORY P	ATENT EXAMINE.	
	SI IPEHVIOU		



Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of claims 26-30 raises the issue of possible new matter, a possible requirement for restriction between the focusing and non-focusing magnetic field and at best requires further consideration that goes beyond a courtesy review extended After Final amendments. For applicant's benefit it appears that applicant's arguments are directed to a non elected species, i.e. figure 18, (See the 4/15/2006 response to the requirement for restriction). Furthermore it appears applicant's arguments are directed towards the intended use of the apparatus as the Bechtel references is inherenlty capable of being placed directly on top of the target material so that the distances mirror those claimed.